

## APPENDIX 1

### PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF COMMITTEE PLACES 2009/10

Committee	Con (66.67%)	Lab (15.38%)	Ind & WR Coalition (15.38%)	Other* (2.56%)	Total	Comments
Overview Board	5 (4.66)	1 (1.07)	1 (1.07)	0 (0.18)	7	
Scrutiny Board	5 (4.66)	1 (1.07)	1 (1.07)	0 (0.18)	7	
Joint Overview and Scrutiny Board	10 (9.33)	2 (2.15)	2 (2.15)	0 (0.36)	14	To comprise all Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Boards. In the event of duplication of membership on the Boards, further nominations will be sought to achieve the correct political balance
Audit Board	5 (4.66)	1 (1.07)	1 (1.07)	0 (0.18)	7	
Performance Management Board	5 (4.66)	1 (1.07)	1 (1.07)	0 (0.18)	7	
Licensing Committee	9 (8.66)	2 (1.99)	2 (1.99)	0 (0.33)	13	
Planning Committee	9 (8.66)	2 (1.99)	2 (1.99)	0 (0.28)	13	
Standards Committee**	2				3	1 member to be nominated by the Leader of the Opposition
Appeals Panel ***	6 (5.33)	1 (1.23)	1 (1.23)	0 (0.20)	8	
Appointments Committee	3 (3.33)	1 (0.76)	1 (0.76)	0 (0.12)	5	
Electoral Matters Committee	6 (6.66)	2 (1.53)	2 (1.53)	0 (0.25)	10	
Local Development Framework Working Party	9 (8.66)	2 (1.99)	2 (1.99)	0 (0.28)	13	
Totals	74	16	16	0	107	

**Notes:**

\* As Councillor W. A. Newnes is not being treated as a member of the Conservative Group, he cannot, as a single Member, describe himself as a group and is therefore not entitled to be allocated any seats.

\*\* Standards Committee – There is no requirement for proportionality on the Standards Committee. Membership also includes three Independent Members and Parish Council representation.

\*\*\* Only three Members of the Appeals Panel will sit on any one occasion.